

Discussion about Persistent Client Cache, End-to-End Data Integrity (T10PI), Lazy Size on MDT

Shuichi Ihara Li Xi Li Dongyang DDN Storage

2 Background of PCC

Persistent Client Cache

 Lustre client side cache which uses SSD/NVMe as local cache to speedup applications with certain I/O patterns

Two modes

- RW-PCC (LU-10092) keeps readwrite cache on local SSD/NVMe of a single client
- RO-PCC (LU-10499) keeps readonly cache on local SSDs/NVMe of multiple clients

Work

- LU-10499, patch 29347: add RW-PCC feature
- LU-10499, patch 29347: add RO-PCC feature
- LU-10499, patch 31868: Add new DLM mode PCCRO for RO-PCC
- LU-10918, patch 32022: Rule based auto PCC caching when create files
- LU-10602, patch 31161: add file heat support



Q: How about add a new DLM mode PCCRO?

What is DLM mode of PCCRO?

• A new DLM mode of file data which is compatible with data read but conflict with data write

Why PCCRO?

- When a file is fetched to RO-PCC, original implementation grabs a LDLM grouplock of the file to protect the data
- Problem: other clients without RO-PCC can not even read the data
- PCCRO allows other clients to read from OSTs normally, yet prevent modification of the data

Any concern?



Q: Which kind of policy do we need for auto-caching?

- When a file is being created, a rule based policy can be used to determine whether it will be cached in RW-PCC or not (LU-10918)
- Use rule expression similar with NRS TBF policy
- Rule example:
 - Only cache the new files to when 1.1) project ID is either 500, or 1000 and 1.2) suffix of file name is "doc" or 2) user ID is 1001
 - porjid={500 1000}&fname={*.doc},uid={1001}
- What attributes are necessary in the rule
 - Project ID/UID/GID/file name/JobID/





How to use file heat for PCC?

- File heat is a relative attribute of files/objects which reflects the access frequency of the files/objects.
 - <u>LU-10602</u>
- Cache prefetch/eviction based on file heat
- Need management tools to apply suitable policies
- Which kind of interfaces do the management tools need?
 - ioctl() to get the file heat
 - ioctl() to set/clear the file heat
 - /proc entry to print a short list of files with the highest heats





How many HSM archive IDs are needed?

- PCC needs to run HSM copytool with unique archive ID on each client
- Currently only 32 archive IDs are supported
- How many IDs do we need to support in the future
 - 128?
 - 1024?
 - Is it possible to extend the upper limitation to infinite?



Background of T10PI

- T10PI: End-to-end data integrity to prevent silent data corruption
- A lot of disks and HBAs are starting to support T10PI
- We are trying to add end-to data integrity based on T10PI for Lustre
 - RPC checksum will be integrated into this new framework
 - Lustre client, server and storage will be integrated together to prevent data corruption

Ticket:LU-10472

- Patch 30792: add T10PI support for BIO
- Patch 30980: add T10PI support for RPC checksum
- Patch 31513: add T10PI support for page cache



Q: When to verify the T10PI checksum?

Why that is a problem?

- More verifications cause performance impact
- More verifications can help to find inconsistency earlier and make it easier to isolate the problematic component

Verify when receive RPC

Reason: network RPC checksum verification is needed any way

Verify before submitting the BIO to disk

- Reason
 - HBA/disk might not support T10PI
 - No verification means risk of submitting broken data to disk
- Reason not to: the HBA/Disk will check later if T10PI is supported
- Verify after reading the data from disk
 - Reason: data could be wrong at the very beginning
- Verify before copy the page to user-space buffer
 - Reason: that is the last chance to detect corrupted data
- Possible solutions: /proc options to disable/enable verifications





Q: How to add more fault injections?

Why fault injection?

- Most of the time, no data corruption happens
- To make sure T10PI is able to detect as expected
- Fault injection when sending/receiving RPC to simulate network issues

Already exists, need to add T10PI support

- Fault injection when submitting I/Os to disk
 - To check whether HBA/disk detects error correctly
- Fault injection on client/server side page cache
 - To simulate memory corruption
- How to add fault injection to simulate data corruption on HBA/disk?
 - Need that to check whether HBA/disk can detect data corruption correctly
 - Any interfaces of HBA/disk we can use?





10 Q: How to add ZFS support for T10PI?

- Currently only T10PI based on Ldiskfs is supported
- ZFS has its own end-to-end checksums
 - ZFS stores checksum of each block in its parent block pointer
 - ZFS end-to-end data integrity doesn't require special hardware
 - Whether or how to combine ZFS-checksum with T10PI support of Lustre?



Q: How to add MDT support for T10PI?

Currently T10PI support is only implemented for OST

Why OST support is relatively easy?

- Lustre OSD (Idiskfs) submits BIO directly
- BIO has T10PI support

Why MDT support is hard?

- MDT uses functions provided by backend file systems instead of raw BIO operation
- LDISKFS/Ext4 does not support T10PI by itself
- Silent metadata corruption of file system is less likely
 - A lot of internal check in metadata operations
- HBA/Disk level T10PI support should be enabled
 - T10PI support for multiple device driver (md-raid)
 - T10PI support for LVM



Q: How to avoid re-calculation of T10PI checksums

- When reading data from OSS, the T10PI checksum will needed to be re-calculated if the data is in page cache
- This introduces overhead and is risky
 - Need benchmarks to check how much performance decline
 - Introduce risk of un-protected data that is vulnerable to memory corruption

Why need to re-calculate T10PI checksum?

- There is no place to keep the T10PI checksum of a page of data
- Size of "struct page" can not be enlarged at all
- Reading from OST disk is fine, since the T10PI checksum can be copied from BIO
- Client side is fine, because "struct cl_page" can be used
- Any idea about how to avoid that?



Q: How to use APP/REF tags of T10PI

Reference (REF) Tag

Detects data writing to incorrect blocks

Application (APP) Tag

- Specific to applications
- Application Tag defines the purpose of data

Guard Tag:

- Protects the data portion of the sector with CRC.
- Currently, only guard tag of T10PI is used by Lustre
- Add userspace API to add APP tag?
- Fill APP tag it with FID and verify it when reading?
- Fill file/object offset to REF tag and verify it when reading?



User-space API for T10PI?

Why?

- Allow user-space applications to attach protection information data when write and to verify the information when read
- "Real" end-to-end integrity from application to disk
- Darrick J. Wong (Oracle) made a Linux kernel patch to provide userspace PI passthrough via AIO/DIO
 - <u>https://lwn.net/Articles/592113/</u>
 - Not merged
- Whether to add user-space interfaces of Lustre file system for T10PI?
 - ioctl()?



15 Background of Lazy Size on MDT

- An estimation of file size is saved as a new extended attribute on MDT to speed up scanning of MDT
- The accuracy of the LSOM is not guaranteed
- To accelerate metadata scanning so as to apply policy rules based on file sizes
- A helper tool is provided to sync LSOM periodically by parsing Lustre changelog
- Ticket: LU-9538
 - Patch 29960: Add lazy size on MDT
 - Patch 30124: Tool for syncing file LSOM xattr



16 Q: How to enable lazy stat for applications?

Add a new mount option "-o lazy_stat"

- Need to remount client
- Problem: different applications on the same client might has different requirement about the file size accuracy
- Add support for statx(2) with AT_STATX_DONT_SYNC
 - All applications can use that syscall to accelerate stat operation
 - Problem: Need to change applications
- Enable/disable lazy stat according to policy based on Job ID?
 - If Job ID of an application matches a certain rule, use lazy stat, otherwise use normal stat
 - Advantage: no need to change application, flexibility



How to use LSOM for policy engine/scanning tools?

- Scanning tools of MDT (e.g. LiPE) can now get all metadata from MDT, including file size
- Scanning tools should not take LSOM as strict file size
- Robinhood can read lazy file size quickly through LSOM
 - Is the interface of getxattr() on MDT enough for Robinhood?



18

Thank you!





© 2016 DataDirect Networks, Inc. * Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others. Any statements or representations around future events are subject to change.