

HPCFS AT ORLANDO LUG 2011
BILL BOAS



SFW AND LUSTRE



- ► Focus on Engineering Services, I/O Performance, Integration
 - Over 50 assignments in last 8 years
 - Integration of OFED for Range of Storage and File System Products
 - Custom System Integration, "Green Beret" services
- Delivering Products and Solutions in HPC, Virtualization, Private Clouds, Enterprise Data Centers with 10/40 GbE, InfiniBand
- Customers are Leaders in their Markets Research, Defense, Finance, Service Provider
- Focus is Highly Efficient Computing, Low Latency Networks and Storage, Lustre
- Pro-Buono work Officers of OpenFabrics Alliance, InfiniBand Trade
 Association, High Performance Cluster File System Foundation
- Lustre and Related Experience
 - Managed Lustre Program at LLNL 2002-6, Consultants to NRL JCTD 2006-9
 - Engineering Srvcs for CFS, Cray, DDN, LBL, LSI, Microsoft, MLNX, NGA, NRL, Oracle, Sun
 - Deployment Srvcs at BOM Australia, Hitachi, LANL, NRL, Raytheon, Sandia, Sun

- Customers/users and vendors need to believe there are a long term, sufficient technical resources, funded and equipped to sustain and evolve Lustre so there is a viable market
- Today the market is includes the fabric/network, OSS, disk controllers and actual storage (disk+flash+HSM hardware)
- Assuming 500 user sites of Lustre spending \$2M per year on this hardware is \$1Billion
- A 1% share of that for on-going Lustre development yields \$10M/year within their budgets that could be available if customers asked their hardware vendors to allocate funds
- Some of the h/w vendors: Bull, Cray, Dell, DDN, Fujitsu, HP, Hitachi, LSI, MLNX, NEC, Oracle, QLogic, RAID, SGI, Terascala, Xiotech, Xyratex there are many others.....
- Note that no software or support revenue is counted here
- Can customers start expressing this case to their h/w vendors?
- No consideration of what customers are spending themselves

LUSTRE-SOURCE.ORG WE CAN ALL AGREE ON



- Single set of Working Groups collaborating internationally to make technical decisions on direction, master feature content and tree
- Technical Respect the basis for gatekeepers, release and bug management
- Encouraging participation from all vendors and all users at any level
- Community Repository at neutral site, Core Tree, Bugs DB
- Build on 2.1 work being driven by Whamcloud, sites and vendors can specialize from the core and contribute back select code
- Contributors keep copyright in code, binds the code together
- Collaborative testing amongst all in community
- Commitment to GPL v2 license, ensures long term
- Community agrees not to assert any patent claim in the code
- A single client implementation that interoperates across all networks and OSSs

Working Items We Can All Agree On



- What is in this release
- How is a release candidate chosen, who gatekeeps and who release manages
- What's in the next release
- How the core tree is set up
- How the core tree is released and advances
- How sites and vendors use the core tree plus to meet their needs
- What is the bug fixing and patching process
- How do we specify stability and performance criteria
- Building a single repository in neutral territory
- Building a collaborative testing process
- At the margin, vendors and sites have differing needs
- Lustre needs "marketing and promotion" to prospects and customers

If we have these substantial areas of agreement

Can we project these to the market place And Implement them

System Fabric Works HPCFS talk at LUG

- ▶ Yes, lets consider who are the customers, suppliers and size
- Customers are those that use Lustre, how many are there 3-500
- The market has Suppliers of Hardware, Software, Support Services and Consulting including OEMs, System Integrators and resellers
- Suppliers are Bull, Cray, Dawning, DDN, Dell, Fujitsu, HP, IBM, LSI, NEC, Seagate, SGI, SFW, Terascala,
- How many petabytes of storage space for Lustre do customers deploy per year? 100-200 ?
- What is the street price, incl. OSS, Controllers., network, etc. for a petabyte? \$1MM
- ► Leads to an estimate of \$1-200MM per year for storage hardware
- Customers have average of ½ FTE, FTE costs \$200k with burden
- Estimate customers are spending \$3-50MM self support

Leaders in High Performance, Low Latency Data Motion

"Fabric Computing that Works"

Trusted Strategic Advisors

Reliable Implementation Partners

System Fabric Works HPCFS talk at LUG